FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1553307-000

Total Deleted Page(s) = 21
Page 8 ~ b6; b7C; b7E;
Page 9 ~ b7E;
Page 10 ~ b6; b7C; b7E;
Page 11 ~ b7E;
Page 12 ~ b7E;
Page 13 ~ b6; b7C; b7E;
Page 14 ~ b7E;
Page 45 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 46 ~ Duplicate;
Page 48 ~ Duplicate;
Page 49 ~ Duplicate;
Page 53 ~ Duplicate;
Page 54 ~ Duplicate;
Page 56 ~ Duplicate;
Page 62 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 64 ~ b6; b7C; b7E;
Page 66 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 68 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 70 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 135 ~ Duplicate;
Page 137 ~ Duplicate;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X For this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

SENDER:

- Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services.
- Complete items 3, and 4a & b.
- Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so that we can return this card to you.
- Attach this form to the front of the mailpiece, or on the back if space does not permit.
- Write "Return Receipt Requested" on the mailpiece below the article number.
- The Return Receipt Fee will provide you the signature of the person delivered to and the date of delivery.

I also wish to receive the following services (for an extra fee):

1. ☐ Addressee's Address
2. ☐ Restricted Delivery

Consult postmaster for fee.

3. Article Addressed to:

John D. Sullivan
200 W. Oak
Ft Collins CO 80521

4a. Article Number
IB425536 370X

4b. Service Type
☐ Registered ☐ Insured
☐ Certified ☐ COD
☒ Express Mail ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise

7. Date of Delivery

5. Signature (Addressee)

6. Signature (Agent)

8. Addressee's Address (Only if requested and fee is paid)

PS Form **3811**, November 1990 ★U.S. GPO: 1991—287-066 **DOMESTIC RETURN RECEIPT**

Is your RETURN ADDRESS completed on the reverse side?

Thank you for using Return Receipt Service.

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

Official Business

U.S. MAIL

PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, $300

Print your name, address and ZIP Code here

Pete Peters
PO Box 766
LaPorte CO 80535

RECIPIENT
The sender has requested notification upon delivery.
Immediately upon receipt, please telephone:

Name: _____

Tel. No.: ( ) _____

EXPRESS MAIL™

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE — EXPRESS MAIL NEXT DAY SERVICE

ORIGIN

ACCEPTANCE

Express Mail Corporate Account No.

Federal Agency Account No.

FROM:

4. DROP OFF/PICK UP

For Customer Use

For Postal Use

Waiver of Signature and Indemnity (Domestic Only)

I wish delivery to be made without obtaining the signature of the addressee or the addressee's agent (if in the judgment of the delivery employee, the article can be left in a secure location) and I authorize the delivery employee to sign that the shipment was delivered and understand that the signature of the delivery employee will constitute valid proof of delivery.

SIGNED:

ADDRESSEE'S COPY

TO:

Telephone Number:

FOR A WRITTEN RECORD OF DELIVERY, RETURN RECEIPT SERVICE CAN BE PURCHASED AT YOUR EXPRESS MAIL OFFICE

© USPS 1990

b6
b7C

MEMORANDUM

Date: 12/29/89

TO: SAC, Denver

FROM: SA [redacted]

b6
b7C

SUBJECT: Authorization to Open and Assign
Below-Listed Case

Pastor Peter Peters, La Porte
Church of Christ; La Porte, Colorado;
[redacted]
CO: Denver

[redacted]

b6
b7C
b7E

OPEN A CASE

[redacted]

ASSIGNED TO: [redacted] STATUS: [redacted]

TITLE: See above

CASE TYPE: _ (C)ONTROL / (D)ECLINATION / (R)ECORD CHECK /
(Z)ERO (BLANK IF INVESTIGATIVE) OR ADMINISTRATIVE

[redacted]

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
AUG 29 1989
FBI — DENVER

b6
b7C
b7E

FOIMS SEARCH
NEG ✓ POS
INT [redacted] DATE 8-29-89

FD-340a (Rev. 10-3-77)

(Title)

(File No.)

b7E

Item	Date Filed	To be returned Yes	To be returned No		Disposition
	7-29-98		X	Cassette tape	

b6
b7C
b7E

FD-340 (Rev. 4-2-85)

Field File No. [redacted] b7E

Serial # of Originating Document _____

OO and File No. _____

Date Received 5-3-93

From JUDGE JOHN DAVID SULLIVAN
(Name of Contributor)

LARIMER COUNTY COURT
(Address of Contributor) b6 b7C

FORT COLLINS, CO
(City and State)

By [redacted]
(Name of Special Agent)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☑ No **Receipt Given** ☐ Yes ☑ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☑ No

Title: PETE PETERS et al

Reference: _____
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☐ Original notes re interview of

Casette re sermon by Pete Peters on 2/28/93.

DECLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY DERIVED FROM:
FBI AUTOMATIC DECLASSIFICATION GUIDE
DATE 10-22-2025 BY: [redacted]

b6
b7C

Indices Search Slip
FD-160 (Rev. 5-22-89)

~~SECRET~~

To: Office Services Manager

Date 1/3/90

Requested by	Squad	Extension	File No.

Subject: Pastor Pete Peters — Social Security Account #:

Aliases:

Address	Birth Date	Birth place	Race	Sex ☐ Male ☐ Female
La Porte, Colo.				

☐ Exact Spelling ☐ Main Criminal Case Files Only ☐ Restrict Locality of
☐ All References ☐ Criminal References Only
☐ Main Security Case Files Only ☐ Main Security *(If no Main, list all Security References)*
☐ Security References Only ☐ Main Criminal *(If no Main, list all Criminal References)*

☐ **General Indices:** ☐ NEG ☐ POS	Searched by / Date	☐ **ISIS:** ☐ NEG ☐ POS	Searched by / Date
☐ **Confidential Indices:** ☐ NEG ☐ POS	Searched by / Date	☐ **OCIS:** ☐ NEG ☐ POS	Searched by / Date
☐ **ELSUR Indices:** ☐ NEG ☐ POS	Searched by / Date	☒ [redacted] ☐ NEG ☒ POS	Searched by / Date 1/3/90
☐ **FOIMS:** ☐ NEG ☐ POS	Searched by / Date	☐ **MICRO:** ☐ NEG ☐ POS	Searched by / Date
☐ **GLOBAL:** ☐ NEG ☐ POS	Searched by / Date	☐ **REGIONAL:** ☐ NEG ☐ POS	Searched by / Date

b7E

Place an "X" by the Field Office(s) to Query for Regional Searches.

Northeast
- ☐ Albany
- ☐ Bern Switzerland
- ☐ Bonn Germany
- ☐ Boston
- ☐ London England
- ☐ Mexico City Mexico
- ☐ New York City
- ☐ Newark
- ☐ Parish France
- ☐ Philadelphia
- ☐ Rome Italy
- ☐ All above

Mid-Atlantic
- ☐ Atlanta
- ☐ Baltimore
- ☐ Brimingham
- ☐ Charlotte
- ☐ Jackson
- ☐ Jacksonville
- ☐ Knoxville
- ☐ Memphis
- ☐ Miami
- ☐ Mobile
- ☐ New Orleans
- ☐ Norfolk
- ☐ Quantico
- ☐ Richmond
- ☐ San Juan
- ☐ Savannah
- ☐ Tampa
- ☐ Washington Field
- ☐ All above

Central
- ☐ Buffalo
- ☐ Chicago
- ☐ Cincinnati
- ☐ Cleveland
- ☐ Dallas
- ☐ Denver
- ☐ Detroit
- ☐ El Paso
- ☐ Houston
- ☐ Indianapolis
- ☐ Kansas City
- ☐ Little Rock
- ☐ Louisville
- ☐ Milwaukee
- ☐ Minneapolis
- ☐ Oklahoma City
- ☐ Omaha
- ☐ Pittsburgh
- ☐ San Antonio
- ☐ Springfield
- ☐ St. Louis
- ☐ All above

Western
- ☐ Albuquerque
- ☐ Anchorage
- ☐ Butte
- ☐ Honolulu
- ☐ Las Vegas
- ☐ Los Angeles
- ☐ Phoenix
- ☐ Portland
- ☐ Sacramento
- ☐ Salt Lake City
- ☐ San Diego
- ☐ San Francisco
- ☐ Seattle
- ☐ All above

File & Serial Number	Remarks	File & Serial Number	Remarks
[redacted]	I		
	I		
	I		
	I	[redacted]	
	I		

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

SEARCHED INDEXED SERIALIZE FILED JAN 3 [illegible]

Consolidated by Date

Reviewed by Date

~~SECRET~~
~~CLASSIFIED BY: G-3~~
~~DECLASSIFY ON: OADR~~

File Review Symbols
I - Identical
NI - Not identical
? - Not identifiable
U - Unavailable reference

~~SECRET~~

(Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
☒ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:
☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☒ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☒ UNCLAS

Date 12/29/89

b6
b7C
b7E

FM FBI DENVER [redacted] b7E

TO DIRECTOR FBI/ROUTINE/

FBI LITTLE ROCK/ROUTINE/

FBI SALT LAKE CITY/ROUTINE/

FBI SEATTLE/ROUTINE/

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3210//

PASS: SSA [redacted] COUNTERTERRORISM SECTION, ROOM 5214;

SSA [redacted] CIVIL RIGHTS UNIT. b6 b7C b7E

SUBJECT: PASTOR PETE PETERS, LA PORTE CHURCH OF CHRIST; LA PORTE, COLORADO; [redacted] OO: DENVER.

[redacted]

RE DENVER TELCAL TO THE BUREAU ON DECEMBER 11, 1989.

THE PURPOSE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS TO ADVISE OF THE

[redacted] b6 b7C b7E

Approved: [redacted] Transmitted 1878 12/31/02 2319 Rec'd [redacted]
(Number) (Time)

Lead cards for: [redacted] 12/11/2003 2320Z Serialized [redacted]

Indexed [redacted]

Peter

(Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
☒ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:
☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS

Date _____

^PAGE TWO [redacted] b7E

[redacted] ON CAPTIONED MATTER IN VIEW OF THE ALLEGATIONS REGARDING ACTIVITIES SET FORTH HEREIN. IT IS NOTED BY DENVER THAT THE ARYAN NATIONS (AN), AN ORGANIZATION THAT PETERS HAS HAD DIRECT LINKS WITH, AND CERTAIN AN RIGHT-WING AFFILIATED GROUPS HAVE HISTORICALLY INVOLVED THEMSELVES IN COUNTERFEITING. IN ADDITION, CERTAIN OF THESE SAME GROUPS ARE CURRENTLY CLOSELY AFFILIATED WITH THE SKINHEAD "MOVEMENT." ~~SO TO SPEAK.~~

SPECIAL AGENT [redacted] OF THE FORT COLLINS, b6 b7C COLORADO RESIDENT AGENCY HAS RECENTLY RECEIVED INFORMATION WHICH WOULD TEND TO INDICATE THAT CAPTIONED SUBJECT AND/OR CERTAIN OF HIS FOLLOWERS MAY BE INVOLVED IN COUNTERFEITING. THIS INFORMATION MAY TEND TO EXPLAIN THE SOURCE OF MONEY FOR PETERS'S ACQUISITION OF SOME 1200 ACRES OF LAND NEAR LARAMIE, WYOMING, AND AN ALLEGED LARGE ROLL OF CURRENCY HE HAD IN HIS POSSESSION WHEN ATTEMPTING TO CLEAR A MAGNETOMETER AT THE FORT SMITH, ARKANSAS, AN TRIALS.

IN LATE NOVEMBER 1989, SA [redacted] SUPRA, WAS ADVISED BY [redacted] (PROTECT) b6 b7C THAT A SOURCE [redacted] b7D

[redacted]

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) (Time) Per _____

(Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
☒ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:
☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS

Date _____

^PAGE THREE [ ] UNCLAS b7E

[redacted]

[redacted] IT IS INTERESTING TO b6 b7C b7D

NOTE AT ABOUT THAT SAME TIME, [redacted]

[redacted] WHO CLAIMS TO REPRESENT A GROUP KNOWN AS "COLORADIAN ASSOCIATION OF RELIGIONS RESOURCE MOVEMENT" AND A SELF-PROCLAIMED INVESTIGATOR OF WHITE SUPREMACIST GROUPS/INDIVIDUALS, ADVISED THAT ONE OF HER "SOURCES" CLOSE TO ONE [redacted] HAD TOLD HER THAT PETERS AND HIS ASSOCIATES WERE COUNTERFEITING MONEY. [redacted] ALLEGEDLY WAS THE PRINTER OF THE COUNTERFEIT MONEY FOR PETERS AND ALLEGEDLY SHOWED THIS SOURCE THE PRINTING MACHINE USED TO MAKE THE MONEY, WHICH WAS DESCRIBED AS A COPYING MACHINE OF SORTS.

SA [redacted] STATED THAT [redacted] IS FORMER DENVER SOURCE [redacted] b6 b7C b7D b7E
[redacted] DENVER FILE [redacted] OPENED ONLY A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. HE STATED THAT [redacted] PROVIDED NO INFORMATION AND HE

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ Per _____
(Number) (Time)

(Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
☒ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:
☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS
Date _____

^PAGE FOUR [redacted] UNCLAS b7E

DESCRIBED [redacted] AS BEING [redacted]

[redacted] A REVIEW OF [redacted] FILE REVEALS THAT [redacted] b6 b7C b7D

DATE OF BIRTH [redacted] AND [redacted]

[redacted]

WHILE SA [redacted] DOES NOT RECOMMEND FURTHER CONTACT WITH [redacted] b6 b7C b7D

HE WOULD CONSIDER AN ATTEMPT TO REESTABLISH A RELATIONSHIP WITH [redacted]

DETECTIVE [redacted] DENVER POLICE DEPARTMENT (DPD) [redacted] b6 b7C

ASSIGNED TO DENVER'S JOINT TERRORIST TASK FORCE HAS ADVISED THAT A CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANT (C.I.) OF KNOWN RELIABILITY, HAS FURNISHED THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

[redacted] b6 b7C b7D

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ Per _____
(Number) (Time)

(Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
☒ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:
☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS
Date _____

^PAGE FIVE [redacted] UNCALS b7E

[redacted] b6 b7C b7D

DENVER VIEWS THE INFORMATION OF THIS NEW GROUP AS A DISTURBING DEVELOPMENT POSSIBLY RELATED TO A NATIONWIDE EFFORT TO RECRUIT SKINHEADS INTO AN AND AN RELATED GROUPS AS A SOURCE OF HIGHLY-CHARGED "SOLDIERS" FOR THE REJUVENATION OF SUCH GROUPS. IN LIGHT OF THE RECENT RACIALLY-MOTIVATED BOMBINGS IN BIRMINGHAM, ATLANTA, ETC. (VAN PAC; MAJOR CASE 201; AFO-CAFM; OO: BIRMINGHAM) WHICH ARE POSSIBLY RELATED TO EXTREMIST GROUPS WHO ARE SIMILARLY MOTIVATED, IT IS FELT THAT THIS INVESTIGATION IS NOT ONLY APPROPRIATE, BUT TIMELY. ANY POSITIVE INFORMATION REGARDING BOMBINGS WILL, OF COURSE, BE PROMPTLY FURNISHED TO THE BUREAU AND INTERESTED OFFICES.

IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS INFORMATION, THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION HAS ALSO SURFACED:

ON DECEMBER 11, 1989, [redacted] TELEPHONE NUMBER [redacted] b6 b7C b7D
[redacted] TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED SA [redacted] TO ADVISE AS

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) _____ (Time) Per _____

(Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
☒ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:
☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS
Date _____

^PAGE SIX [redacted] UNCLAS . b7E

FOLLOWS:

[redacted] b6 b7C b7D

THE DESCRIPTION OF [redacted] SKINHEADS HAVING ALLEGEDLY BEEN INVOLVED AND THE FACT THAT PASTOR PETE PETERS IS AFFILIATED WITH SEVERAL RADIO STATIONS IN CONNECTION WITH HIS RELIGIOUS BROADCASTS WOULD SUGGEST THAT [redacted] IS ONE PREVIOUSLY PURCHASED BY HIM. ~~IT IS ALSO INTERESTING TO NOTE THAT INFORMATION HAS RECENTLY BEEN UNCOVERED THAT WOULD INDICATE THAT PETERS IS INVOLVED IN COUNTERFEITING, AS PREVIOUSLY SET FORTH.~~ b7D

Denver will interview

~~IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT~~ [redacted] ~~BE INTERVIEWED~~, IN DEPTH, REGARDING THE ABOVE AND THAT ANY OF [redacted] WHO WERE INVOLVED IN THIS MATTER may ALSO BE INTERVIEWED. FOLLOWING b6 b7C

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) _____ (Time) Per _____

(Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:	PRECEDENCE:	CLASSIFICATION:
☒ Teletype	☐ Immediate	☐ TOP SECRET
☐ Facsimile	☐ Priority	☐ SECRET
☐ _____	☐ Routine	☐ CONFIDENTIAL
		☐ UNCLAS E F T O
		☐ UNCLAS
		Date _____

^PAGE SEVEN [redacted] UNCLAS b7E

THESE INTERVIEWS, ANY FURTHER INVESTIGATION ~~SHOULD~~ will BE CONDUCTED ONLY AFTER CONSULTATION WITH FBIHQ.

DENVER WILL REVIEW PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED IN REGARDS TO A CIVIL RIGHTS INQUIRY INVOLVING PETERS IN WHICH INVESTIGATION WAS CONDUCTED TO DETERMINE THE SOURCE OF HIS FUNDING FOR THE AFOREMENTIONED PROPERTY NEAR LARAMIE, WYOMING, IF FURTHER INVESTIGATION CAN BE CONDUCTED WITHOUT ALERTING PETERS, TO SUCH AN INVESTIGATION. IT IS BECAUSE OF THIS PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION THAT DENVER HAS DESIGNATED A COPY OF THIS COMMUNICATION FOR THE CIVIL RIGHTS UNIT.

DENVER WILL MAINTAIN CONTACT WITH [redacted] FOR FURTHER INFORMATION REGARDING PETERS'S ALLEGED COUNTERFEITING AND WILL CONSIDER AN APPROACH TO FORMER DN SOURCE [redacted] AS WELL AS [redacted] TO OBTAIN FURTHER INFORMATION. b6 b7C b7D

IN ADDITION, THE WELD COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE (WCSO) AT FORT COLLINS, [redacted] b7E

DENVER WILL MONITOR THE [redacted] b7D

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) _____ (Time) Per _____

(Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
☒ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:
☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS
Date _____

^PAGE EIGHT [redacted] UNCLAS b7E

[redacted] b6 b7C b7D b7E

SA [redacted] UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE, HAS BEEN b6 b7C
ADVISED OF THE COUNTERFEITING ALLEGATION AND HAS PLEDGED HIS
AGENCY'S COOPERATION.

DENVER INVITES RECEIVING OFFICES TO COMMENT AND PROVIDE ANY
INFORMATION, AND BACKGROUND THAT MIGHT CORROBORATE PETERS'S
ALLEGED INVOLVEMENT IN COUNTERFEITING.

BT

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) _____ (Time) Per _____

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



b6
b7C
b7E

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Memorandum

To : SAC, DENVER (46-0) Date 3/2/90

From : SA [redacted] b6 b7C

Subject: PETE PETERS,
FORMER COUNTY SUPERVISOR (GS11),
FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION,
FORT COLLINS, COLORADO;
FAG - DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

On 1/23/90, [redacted] DOB [redacted] County Program Technician, FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION (FHA), Department of Agriculture (DOFA), 2625 Redwing, Suite Number 230, Fort Collins, Colorado, Business telephone number (303) 223-2090, with residence at [redacted] Colorado. Home telephone number [redacted] furnished the following information to SAs [redacted] and [redacted] at the Fort Collins resident agency: b6 b7C

While PETERS was employed in captioned position during the period 1978 through 1984, he had authority to issue federally funded and guaranteed loans to certain Laramie County residents. Approximately 15 of those loans, which total approximately several million dollars are now in delinquent or foreclosed status and were made to his associates and allegedly involve numerous irregularities and kickbacks to PETERS. Upon notification that these loans were being audited, PETERS resigned and the matter was referred to the office of the Inspector General for the Department of Agriculture; however, no prosecution was brought against PETERS or any of the borrowers. The current outstanding balance of loans issued by the FHA office in Fort Collins, Colorado, is $20 million and collectibility is questionable.

Since statute of limitations problems could apparently be avoided because the alleged conspiracy and loan activity continued with renewals and disbursements from 1984 to the present, and because of the existing precarious loan collectibility situation at the Fort Collins FHA office, it is recommend that an investigation to captioned matter be initiated.

206C-DN-

4 - Denver (1 - ~~DN 46-0~~)
(1 - 66-2330L)
(1 - [redacted])
(1 - [redacted])

(4) [redacted]

- 1 -



b6 b7C b7E

However, due to other demanding priority investigations and lack of manpower, Fort Collins RA is unable to address this matter at this time, and it is further recommend that captioned matter be placed in (unaddressed work status) for the Fort Collins RA until an additional Special Agent - Accountant is assigned to the Fort Collins Resident Agency.

(Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

b6
b7C
b7E

TRANSMIT VIA:
- [x] Teletype
- [ ] Facsimile
- [ ] _____

PRECEDENCE:
- [ ] Immediate
- [ ] Priority
- [x] Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- [ ] TOP SECRET
- [ ] SECRET
- [ ] CONFIDENTIAL
- [ ] UNCLAS E F T O
- [x] UNCLAS

Date 3/13/90

Tue #3

FM FBI DENVER [redacted] (P) b7E

TO DIRECTOR FBI/ROUTINE/

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3210//

PASS: SSA [redacted]

SUBJECT: PASTOR PETE PETERS, LA PORTE CHURCH OF CHRIST, LA PORTE, COLORADO, [redacted] OO: DENVER. b6 b7C b7E

[redacted]

RE DENVER TELCAL CONFERENCE ON MARCH 9, 1990.

THIS COMMUNICATION IS BEING SUBMITTED BY DENVER TO VERIFY

[redacted]

BT

[redacted] b6 b7C b7E

Approved: [redacted] Transmitted 12/7/0173/0118 (Number) (Time) Per [redacted]

Searched [redacted]
Serialized [redacted]
Indexed [redacted]

Control #624

T 4/6/90

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

0020 MRI 00127

RR FBIDN

DE FBIDN #0001 0730132

ZNR UUUUU

R 140115Z MAR 90

FM FBI DENVER [redacted] (P)

TO DIRECTOR FBI/ROUTINE/

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3210//

PASS: SSA [redacted]

SUBJECT: PASTOR PETE PETERS, LA PORTE CHURCH OF CHRIST, LA PORTE, COLORADO, [redacted] OO: DENVER.

[redacted]

RE DENVER TELCAL CONFERENCE ON MARCH 9, 1990.

THIS COMMUNICATION IS BEING SUBMITTED BY DENVER TO VERIFY

[redacted]

BT

#0001

NNNN

b6
b7C
b7E

b6
b7C
b7E

b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted]

Searched [redacted]

Serialized [redacted]

Indexed [redacted]

Filed [redacted]

FILE OUT
C/A [redacted]

b6
b7C

0031 MRI 02484

RR FBIDN

DE RUEHFB #0245 0750452

ZNR UUUUU

R 152302Z MAR 90

FM DIRECTOR FBI

TO FBI DENVER [redacted](P)/ROUTINE/ b7E

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //0652//

SUBJECT: PASTOR PETERS, LA PORTE CHURCH OF CHRIST, LA PORTE, COLORADO; [redacted]; OO: DENVER.

[redacted]

b6
b7C
b7E

REFERENCE TELEPHONE CALL FROM SUPERVISORY SPECIAL AGENT (SSA) [redacted] FBIHQ, TO SSA [redacted] ON MARCH 9, 1990, AND DENVER TELETYPE TO FBIHQ DATED MARCH 14, 1990.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF DENVER, [redacted]

[redacted]

Peter-

[redacted]

SEARCHED SERIALIZED INDEXED FILED

1990

b6
b7C
b7E

PAGE TWO DE RUEHFB/0245 UNCLAS//

[redacted] b7E

BT

#0245

NNNN

[redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] b6 b7C b7E

0012 MRI 00354

RR FBIDN

DE FBISE #0013 0950933

ZNR UUUUU

R 050445Z APR 90

FILE OUT
c/A [redacted]

FM FBI SEATTLE [redacted] (RUC)

TO FBI DENVER [redacted] /ROUTINE/

b6
b7C
b7E

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3840:SQ. 5//

SUBJECT: PASTOR PETE PETERS, LA PORTE CHURCH OF CHRIST; LA PORTE, COLORADO; [redacted] OO: DN.

b7E

[redacted]

DENVER TELETYPE, 12/29/89, SET FORTH BACKGROUND INFORMATION CONCERNING CAPTIONED SUBJECT AND HIS POSSIBLE LINKS TO COUNTERFEITING AND TO RIGHT-WING ORGANIZATIONS SUCH AS THE ARYAN NATIONS.

WHILE THE SEATTLE DIVISION HAS INVESTIGATED VARIOUS RIGHT-WING GROUPS AND/OR INDIVIDUALS IN THE PAST, SEATTLE HAS NO INFORMATION THAT WOULD CORROBORATE CAPTIONED SUBJECT'S ALLEGED



b6
b7C
b7E

INVOLVEMENT IN COUNTERFEITING.

INASMUCH AS THERE IS NO OUTSTANDING INVESTIGATION TO BE CONDUCTED WITHIN THE SEATTLE DIVISION, SEATTLE CONSIDERS THIS MATTER RUC.

BT

#0013

NNNN

Memorandum

To : SAC, DENVER [redacted] (P) Date 6/19/90

From : SA [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7E

Subject: PASTOR PETE PETERS, CHURCH OF
JESUS CHRIST IN LA PORTE, COLORADO,
[redacted]
OO: DENVER

[redacted]

On 4/19/90, a former source [redacted] - protect) telephonically advised the writing Agent that [redacted]

[redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

NCIC negative regarding [redacted]

[redacted] negative.

[redacted] Denver

[redacted]

SEARCHED [redacted] INDEXED [redacted]
SERIALIZED [redacted] FILED [redacted]
JUN 20 1990
[redacted]

b6
b7C
b7E

(Rev. 3-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
☒ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:
☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☒ UNCLAS
Date 12/27/90

b6
b7C
b7E

Wed #2

FM FBI DENVER [redacted] (C) b7E

1 TO DIRECTOR FBI/ROUTINE/
2 FBI LITTLE ROCK/ROUTINE/
3 FBI SALT LAKE CITY/ROUTINE/
4 BT
5 UNCLAS
6 CITE: //3210//
7 PASS: SSA [redacted] ROOM 5214, b6 b7C b7E
8 JEH; SSA [redacted] CIVIL RIGHTS SECTION.
9
10 SUBJECT: PASTER PETE PETERS, LA PORTE CHURCH OF CHRIST;
11 LA PORTE, COLORADO; [redacted] OO: DENVER. b6 b7C b7E
12 [redacted]
13 RE DENVER TELETYPE TO THE BUREAU, DATED DECEMBER 29, 1989.
14 FOR INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU AND RECEIVING OFFICES,
15 CAPTIONED MATTER HAS BEEN CLOSED BY DENVER. PRIOR TO CLOSING,
16
17 [redacted] b6 b7C b7E
18 [redacted]
19
20
21 [redacted]

Close #4

Approved: [redacted] Transmitted 179 474 0415GZ Per _____
(Number) (Time)

Searched _____

Serialized [redacted]

(Rev. 6-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
☒ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:
☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS

Date _____

^PAGE TWO [redacted] b7E

HOWEVER, DENVER'S CONCERNS OVER PETERS FINANCIAL ABILITY TO PURCHASE HIS RANCH PROPERTY AND THE RELATED ISSUES OF THE QUESTIONABLE METHOD USED TO PURCHASE SAME WERE SUBMITTED TO THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE; WHO HAS ADVISED THAT ANY INFORMATION, DISPOSITION, ETC., WILL BE FURNISHED THE FBI.

BT

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) _____ (Time) Per _____

RR FBIDN

DE FBIDN #0011 1790615

ZNR UUUUU

R 280458Z JUN 90

FM FBI DENVER [redacted] (C) b7E

TO DIRECTOR FBI/ROUTINE/

FBI LITTLE ROCK/ROUTINE/

FBI SALT LAKE CITY/ROUTINE/

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3210//

PASS: SSA [redacted] ROOM 5214, b6 b7C b7E

JEH; SSA [redacted] CIVIL RIGHTS SECTION.

SUBJECT: PASTER PETE PETERS, LA PORTE CHURCH OF CHRIST;

LA PORTE, COLORADO; [redacted] OO: DENVER. b6 b7C b7E

[redacted]

RE DENVER TELETYPE TO THE BUREAU, DATED DECEMBER 29, 1989.

FOR INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU AND RECEIVING OFFICES,

CAPTIONED MATTER HAS BEEN CLOSED BY DENVER. PRIOR TO CLOSING,

b6 b7C b7E

[redacted]

Searched [redacted]

Serialized [redacted]

Indexed [redacted]

Filed [redacted]

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

[redacted] UNCLAS

b7E

HOWEVER, DENVER'S CONCERNS OVER "STEPS FINANCIAL ABILITY TO PURCHASE HIS RANCH PROPERTY" AND THE RELATED ISSUES OF THE QUESTIONABLE METHOD USED TO PURCHASE SAME WERE SUBMITTED TO THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE. IRS HAS ADVISED THAT ANY INFORMATION, DISPOSITION, ETC., WILL BE FURNISHED THE FBI.

ST

##

Memorandum

To : SAC, DENVER [redacted] (C) Date 7/16/91

From : SA [redacted] b6 b7C b7E

Subject:

PASTOR PETE PETERS,
LA PORTE CHURCH OF CHRIST,
LA PORTE, COLORADO;
[redacted]
OO: DENVER

An information copy of this memorandum is being directed to 44-0-557 in which [redacted] claimed his civil rights had been violated by Judge SULLIVAN. b6 b7C

On 7/1/91, Chief Judge JOHN DAVID SULLIVAN, Larimer County District Court, Fort Collins, Colorado, made available to SA [redacted] copies of 19 pages of documents: Pages 1 through 5 pertain to a threatening letter received by Judge SULLIVAN from an unknown source. The original of the envelope and letter were furnished to the LARIMER COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT by Judge SULLIVAN and the matter is currently under investigation by LARIMER COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. Pages 6 through 19 pertain to a motion filed before Judge SULLIVAN by [redacted] b6 b7C

Judge SULLIVAN stated that the 19 pages of documents have also been examined at his request, by Psychologist [redacted] who concluded that there is a similarity in writing style of the author(s), and that the author of the threatening letter is probably a religious zealot or paranoid schizophrenic. b6 b7C

Judge SULLIVAN also stated that Pastor PETE PETERS has previously appeared before him, (Judge SULLIVAN), however, he has no further leads or information concerning the source of the threatening letter.

Judge SULLIVAN furnished the 19 pages of documents to the FBI for informational purposes, and is not expecting or requesting any Federal investigation.

3 - Denver (1 - 44-0-557)(Info)
[redacted]
(3)

[redacted]
SEARCHED [redacted] INDEXED
SERIALIZED [redacted] FILED [redacted]
JUL 17 1991
FBI - DENVER
[redacted] b6 b7C b7E

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

b7E

NW
FBI
1 of 19
7/1/91

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

9/9/19

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

DISTRICT COURT, LARIMER COUNTY, FORT COLLINS, COLORADO

Case No. [redacted] b6 b7C

REQUEST FOR DETERMINATION OF STATUS OF JUDGMENT AND MOTION TO RECONSIDER STAY OF EXECUTION OF JUDGMENT PENDING APPEAL

TEXAS LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Texas corporation,

Plaintiff

v.

[redacted] b6 b7C

Defendant.

COMES NOW THE DEFENDANT and requests this Honorable Court to determine status of the judgment in question; that is, whether, in light of circumstances, such judgment is final or even valid and upon a finding of this Court that such judgment is either invalid or not final, or both, that this Court take immediate and necessary judicial action staying current judgment creditor activity pending a ruling from the Court of Appeals. As grounds for this request, Defendant states and avers as follows:

1. On April 13, 1989, Judge John David Sullivan (Sullivan) entered a default judgment against Defendant claiming that Defendant was in default because he had not paid a docket fee and that he had not filed an answer. This judgment was entered by Sullivan without factual basis ignoring affidavits of objective third parties (see affidavits enclosed), because Defendant was appearing pro se, and because various and numerous orders/notices which preceded such judgment were never mailed or mailed too late to the Defendant making it impossible for the Defendant to defend himself.

2. Because of the obvious errors committed by the judge and at the admission of various members of the Clerk's staff being fully apprised of Defendants compliance with paying a docket fee and filing a responsive pleading, Defendant attempted to routinely set aside such judgment claiming relief under Rule 55 (c), 60 (a) and 60 (b), C.R.C.P.

3. On October 27, 1989, an appeal was commenced by Defendant [redacted] b6 b7C appealing essentially all of the orders of the previously assigned and prejudiced trial judge Sullivan. [redacted]

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

4. Defendant filed a Motion for Stay of Execution of Judgment Pending Appeal with Sullivan's court which was denied.

5. On March 6, 1990, the Court of Appeals dismissed the appeal without prejudice based on its findings, corroborating that of the Defendant, that pursuant to an inspection of the court's register of actions, that there was no indication on the copies of the orders or in the register of actions whether any of the orders were ever mailed to the parties and, if so, the date on which they were mailed (See Colorado Court of Appeals order dated March 6, 1990) leaving a major question mark as to the finality of the judgment, let alone its validity.

6. The conclusion of the higher Court at that time was that dismissal was in order only because the time to appeal had not yet commenced per C.A.R. 4(a), that the appeal was premature presumably because the judgement never became final.

7. Upon Defendant's advice to the state judiciary and the higher Court at that time that an appeal appeared impossible considering that the previously assigned trial Court judge not only had refused to correct all of his orders which were wrong and that none of his orders made any sense (see [redacted] affidavit) and Defendant and his affiants could prove it upon testimony in open Court, had refused to grant stay pending appeal, had refused to furnish a copy of the final written judgment per Defendant motion per Rule 121, filed at the suggestion of the Colorado Supreme Court, on a judgment allegedly entered on April 13, 1989 (still to this day not received by Defendant. b6 b7C

8. Notwithstanding the futility of attempting to communicate with the District Court and because judgment-creditor activity continued to run unabated, Defendant filed his second appeal at the suggestion of the Colorado Supreme Court after a substantial complaint lodged against the previously assigned trial Court judge. That appeal was filed on July 30, 1990 [redacted] b6 b7C

9. The second appeal has been pending since July 30, 1990 and the higher Court has asked for certain briefs from Defendant and Plaintiff.

10. Plaintiff has attempted to dismiss this appeal but the Court of Appeals has denied such motions.

11. Plaintiff has attempted on numerous occasions to strike certain language used by Defendant in his defense with the Court of Appeals and the Court of Appeals has denied such Plaintiff motions.

12. Plaintiff has attempted to seek oral argument but the higher Court had refused to grant such Plaintiff motions.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

13. The newly assigned trial judge , in his Order dated 11 September, 1990 suggested that the Defendant's remedy is to appeal and that he may not collaterally attack the previous orders of the Court. Defendant agrees and has been attempting to appeal this matter ever since the Clerk lost his docket fee and original signed pleadings approximately three (3) years ago.

14. The newly assigned trial judge, in his 11 April , 1991 order again advises defendant that his remedies are appellate in nature and again, Defendant couldn't agree more.

15. Because the second appeal has been at issue with the higher Court since July, 1990 and Defendant has no way of determining when a ruling might be forthcoming and because Defendant has reason to believe that the higher Court may continue to take the position that an appeal is premature given the absence of a final written order communicated to the parties per C.A.R. 4(a) and therefore not final, this Defendant respectfully requests that this Court take judicial notice of its own file to determine whether this alleged judgment is final or even valid in order to allow Defendant an opportunity to further advance this matter, unmolested by unnecessary and unlawful judgment-creditor attack at the Colorado Court of Appeals level.

16. Defendant wishes to apprise this Court that the continued and relentless failure of the previously assigned trial judge to respond to the many requests of the Defendant not only for a copy of the final written order (judgment) but a host of many other orders/notices which were either never sent to Defendant or sent in an untimely manner, have unnecessarily expanded the timeframe for completing this matter in a timely fashion and has concomitantly denied Defendant his right to due process, not only at the trial Court level but now, and potentially at the Court of Appeals.

17. Defendant fully understands that the newly assigned trial Court judge lacks the power to vacate or reverse another District Judge's rulings and that a defendant's remedy, if any, as to the issues at hand, is appellate in nature. If, on the other hand, a defendant seeks such an appeal which is being blocked by the past inactions or prejudices of a previously assigned trial Court judge, thereby making an appeal impossible, Defendant is accordingly advised by the Justice Department that a malicious and intentional denial of due process has taken place and that such acts may be adjudged criminal under Title 18, United States Code.

18. Defendant has already demonstrated that the previously assigned trial Court judge was and is prejudiced and has intentionally and maliciously denied this Defendant his constitutional right to due process for the past three (3) years.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

8/9/19

19. Defendant is attempting to get his day in Court and respectfully looks to this Court for relief as it may be able to offer such relief without violating the new trial judge's scope of authority in vacating or reversing past orders of a previously assigned and prejudiced judge. In this particular case, and given the circumstances, the superintendent role of the newly assigned trial judge should include, at a minimum, his power to at least grant relief to the Defendant in the form of a stay of execution of judgment pending appeal.

20. This Court currently has superintendent authority over judgment-creditor activity on a judgement which Defendant believes, and the Court of Appeals believes, may never have been final and as a result of such, this Defendant may be permanently barred from an appeal because of the past inactions or prejudices of a judge who acted irresponsibly, maliciously, and intentionally denied this Defendant his right to his day in Court. The fact that many of the orders/notices were never sent or were received in a timely manner making a defense impossible invalidate the judgement itself since, in particular, the judgement was never mailed to the Defendant (even to this date) as substantiated by Defendant and Court of Appeals review of the court's register of actions.

21. If Sullivan is "often unpredictable" and "biased" and enjoys playing legislator as the enclosed article suggests, then why is Defendant having to defend himself on a very time consuming and wasteful lawsuit? The Defendant is not in default and never was (see [redacted] and [redacted] affidavits enclosed), didn't do anything wrong yet the Court, because of errors committed very early on and never corrected by it, has turned a one hour arbitration hearing into a three year litany of pleadings which has generally been a waste of time and taxpayer dollars. There are several very obvious questions which are raised if Sullivan possesses the characteristics as espoused in the enclosed article; that is,

b6
b7C

1) Why is his continued presence on the bench tolerated?

2) Why is the Defendant ,as just another victim of the Sullivan Court, continuing to defend this mess created by Sullivan in the first place?

3. Why is the Defendant being punished and sanctioned for Sullivan's known judicial deficiencies?

4. If the man is known to be biased, unpredictable, and legislative in his decisions, and because of such judicial deficiencies , this Defendant's right to an appeal is being inhibited not only at the trial Court level but at the Court of Appeals level as well, why is this a problem that should be levied upon Defendant and now his family?

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

22. Defendant recognizes that a resolution of the above problem is clearly for a higher Court or disciplinary body to resolve. It was included in this pleading merely to expand on the knowledge base of the newly assigned trial Court judge in order for him to recognize that what the Defendant is waiting for is a response from the Court of Appeals and Defendant, based on the history of this case, has a right to await such a response without further harassment caused by a Plaintiff who is literally feasting on known judicial deficiencies causing unnecessary punishment not only for the Defendant but now his family.

23. To perpetuate such judgment creditor activity is grossly inappropriate, unfair and unlawful since the judgment, based on the history of past proceedings, is either illegal, not final or both.

24. Even if it were found that this judgment is valid, Rule 69 (a) C.R.C.P. specifically prohibits execution of a judgment in the absence of its finality.

25. There is a preponderance of evidence and so far skillfully suppressed testimony available to satisfy this or any other Court in regard to the basis of arguments being advanced by Defendant to seek relief as pled. Why such evidence and testimony remains suppressed when Defendant has repeatedly requested oral hearing to caste light on the past errors of the previously assigned trial Court judge remains a mystery but one which hopefully and shortly will be resolved.

WHEREFORE, this Defendant respectfully requests this Honorable Court to determine whether or not this judgment is final or even valid given the absence of any evidence of a mailing of the judgment and many other orders/notices which preceded and succeeded said judgment and which were never mailed to the Defendant . Defendant therefore respectfully prays that upon such a finding of this Honorable Court essentially corroborating the findings of this Defendant and the Colorado Court of Appeals that in fact there is no indication that any of these orders/notices were ever mailed to the parties, then Defendant would respectfully request that this Honorable Court, if within the scope of authority of the newly assigned trial Court judge, take immediate corrective action to reconsider a stay of execution of judgment pending appeal with the Colorado Court of Appeals which is pending at this time. Given the preponderance of evidence, there is simply no reason why any Court, <u>being fully apprised of the facts,</u> can stand back and witness the inhumane attacks being levied against Defendant and his family created as a result of indisputable past judicial errors and known deficiencies of the previously assigned trial Court judge.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Respectfully submitte[redacted]f May, 1991.,

b6
b7C

[redacted]

Pro se Defendant

[redacted]

[redacted] Colorado [redacted]

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 6th day of May, 1991 I did place in the United States mails, first class postage prepaid, a copy of the above and foregoing in a self addressed envelope addressed as follows:

[redacted]

[redacted] Colorado [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted]

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

COLORADO COURT OF APPEALS

No. [redacted]

TEXAS LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Texas corporation,

Appellee,

v.

[redacted]

Appellant.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

(Trial Court No. [redacted])

b6
b7C

Upon consideration of the pleadings herein and the register of actions, the court determines that the trial court executed a written order of default judgment on April 13, 1989 and written orders denying motions to set aside default judgment on August 25, 1989, September 8, 1989, October 16, 1989, and October 30, 1989. See C.R.C.P. 58(a). However, there is no indication on the copies of the orders or in the register of actions whether any of the orders were mailed to the parties, and, if so, the date on which they were mailed. Thus, it appears that the time in which to file the notice of appeal has not yet commenced, see C.A.R. 4(a), and that the appeal is premature.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this appeal is DISMISSED without prejudice.

BY THE COURT: [redacted]

b6
b7C

Dated: March , 1990

Copies to: Counsel of Record
Clerk of the District Court

COPIES MAILED TO:
COUNSEL OF RECORD
~~Trl. Ct. Judge~~ — Trl. Ct. Clerk
AND Pro Se
ON: 3-6-90
BY: [signature]
COLORADO COURT OF APPEALS

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

VOTER INFORMATION ON RETENTION OF JUDGES
GENERAL ELECTION - NOVEMBER 6, 1990

In Colorado, in every general election, a number of trial and appellate judges and justices must stand for retention election. Two judges in the 8th Judicial District (Larimer and Jackson counties) are on the ballot this year: John E. Kochenburger and John-David Sullivan.

In 1988, the Colorado legislature established a statewide system for the evaluation of judges by judicial performance commissions in each district. Current members of the 8th Judicial District Judicial Performance Commission are Louis Brown, Fort Collins; Ruth Dohrn, Loveland; Robert Eatman, Fort Collins; Lynn Hammond, Loveland (attorney); James Johnson, Fort Collins (attorney); Jean Kenny, Loveland; Celeste Holder Kling, Fort Collins (attorney); Garth McCann, Fort Collins; Mable Preble, Fort Collins (attorney); and Robert Smiley, Loveland.

Judges are evaluated on the following criteria: integrity; knowledge and understanding of substantive, procedural, and evidentiary law; communication skills; preparation, attentiveness, and control over judicial proceedings; sentencing practices; docket management and prompt case disposition; administrative skills; punctuality; effectiveness in working with participants in the judicial process; and services to the legal profession and the public. The judges' evaluations result from survey questionnaires (attorneys, jurors, litigants, probation departments, court personnel and law enforcement agencies); relevant docket and sentencing statistics; a personal interview with the judge; and information from other appropriate sources.

Each evaluation by the Judicial Performance Commission includes a narrative profile with a recommendation stated as "retain," "not retain" or "no opinion" recommendation to the voters as follows:

HONORABLE JOHN KOCHENBURGER

Judge Kochenburger obtained his law degree from the University of Colorado and was admitted to the Bar in 1955. After serving in the United States Army, he was involved in private practice of law in Fort Collins from 1957 to 1983, when he was appointed District Court Referee and Juvenile Commissioner. In 1984, he was appointed County Court Judge for Larimer County.

Judge Kochenburger's responsibilities include traffic cases, misdemeanors, and civil cases with a jurisdiction up to $5,000.00. He handles one-half of all County Court cases in Fort Collins, which is approximately 6,000 cases per year.

All groups surveyed rated Judge Kochenburger substantially above average. Positive responses in the question of whether Judge Kochenburger should be retained were as follows: attorneys (76.9%); jurors (100%); litigants (88.9%); probation and social services workers (80%); staff (69.2%); and law enforcement officers (83.3%). Based upon the survey evaluations, interviews with the judge, and a review of the judge's appeal record, the Commission recommends that Judge Kochenburger SHOULD BE RETAINED.

HONORABLE JOHN-DAVID SULLIVAN



Judge Sullivan was admitted to the Oklahoma Bar in 1957 and the Colorado Bar in 1958, after receiving his law degree at the University of Colorado. He practiced law in Denver from 1958 until 1965 and was in private practice in Fort Collins from 1965 until 1976, when he was appointed to the District Court bench.

Judge Sullivan serves as Chief Judge of the Eighth Judicial District and handles general civil and criminal cases and is the judge responsible for all juvenile and probate matters. Judge Sullivan currently handles approximately 1,000 to 1,500 cases per year.

The percentage of surveyed groups responding favorably to the issue of retention were as follows: attorneys (59.6%); jurors (100%); litigants (71.4%); staff (41.7%, although 50% expressed no opinion); social services and probation workers (78.3%); and law enforcement officers (96%). Some people who responded to the survey felt that Judge Sullivan is often unpredictable and that his decisions are legislative at times; some expressed an opinion of bias by the judge. Respondents frequently complimented Judge Sullivan for his courtroom demeanor and legal reasoning. Based upon the evaluations, interviews with the judge, and a review of the judge's appeal record, the Commission recommends that Judge Sullivan SHOULD BE RETAINED.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

EXHIBIT A

A F F I D A V I T

STATE OF COLORADO)
County of Larimer) ss.

The undersigned being first duly sworn upon oath, depose and state:

1. My name is [redacted] and I office at [redacted] Fort Collins, Colorado.

b6
b7C

2. I am [redacted] to the Defendant.

3. I am intimately familiar with this matter and all pleadings filed by [redacted] since his inception plea (answer) to this complaint dated December 5, 1988.

4. I have reviewed the ORDER of the Court dated 31 March, 1989.

5. The Court alleges that the Defendant did not abide with the request of the Court to file original signed copies of pleadings. This is untrue and I have personally and extensively discussed this confusing matter with members of the Clerk's office on many past occasions.

6. The Court alleges that the Defendant did not respond to an ORDER of the Court to file responsive pleadings by March 1 and March 29. This is also untrue and I have specifically discussed all of the Defendant's responsive pleadings with the Clerk of the District Court, Mrs. Rommel herself, and her staff on at least a half a dozen past occasions.

7. The Defendant and I and the Clerk's staff cannot understand why:

a) The Defendant's docket fee was unposted for 17 days causing the Court to strike all pleadings of the Defendant.

b) The Court alleges that the Defendant has not provided the Court with original signed copies of all pleadings when I personally verified their receipt with Judy Schreiner of the Clerk's office.

c) The Court alleges that the Defendant has not been responsive on all required pleadings when I have discussed such erroneous allegations with the Clerk's office on many past occasions.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

d) Given all of the confusion regarding the docket fee, alleged unresponsiveness of the Defendant, etc. and our many conversations with Mrs. Rommel and her staff who are equally confused as we are, I am completely mystified at this recent ORDER placing [redacted] in default. The entry of default claims that the Defendant never responded to this complaint within the statutory timeframe yet we have evidence to the contrary plus Mrs. Rommel advised me on March 8, 1989, after conferring with the Judge, that she would re-file all of our past pleadings. The Court had issued an earlier ORDER advising the Defendant that the Court would strike all pleadings because the Defendant never paid his docket fee. This claim of the Court was entirely false. b6 b7C

e) I am curious how this March 31 ORDER could have been signed ignoring the facts as they are portrayed in this affidavit. I do not believe it is possible for [redacted] who is clearly not in default, and who has provided the Court with original signed copies of all past pleadings (and we have proof), has honored the orders of the Court by filing responsive pleadings throughout these proceedings (and we have proof), to have been deemed by the Court to be in default. b6 b7C

Further the affiant sayeth not.

[redacted]

The foregoing affidavit was sworn to and acknowledged before me in the County of Larimer, State of Colorado, by [redacted] on this 7th day of April, 1989. b6 b7C

[redacted]

Notary Public

My commission expires: My Commission Expires July 5, 1992

Notary address: [redacted] Co [redacted]

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

EXHIBIT E

A F F I D A V I T

STATE OF COLORADO)
County of Larimer) ss.

The undersigned being first duly sworn upon oath, depose and state:

1. My name is [redacted] and at the time the Defendant was served, Defendant and I officed at the same location at [redacted] Colorado [redacted] We shared the services of our then [redacted] b6 b7C

2. I am intimately familiar with this matter and all pleadings filed by [redacted] since his inception plea (answer) to this complaint dated December 5, 1988.

3. I have reviewed the ORDER of the Court dated 29 May, 1990 as well as all of the Court's preceding orders. None of them make any sense.

4. The Court alleges in its 29 May ORDER that Defendant did not sign the pleading submitted. That allegation is false since I personally saw the Defendant sign all of his pleadings (answers to complaint) before they were mailed to the Court.

5. The Court alleges that the Defendant was repeatedly advised of his error ? The Defendant was advised ONCE that he failed to furnish the Court with an original signed pleading and on or about February 15, 1989, Defendant forwarded another original signed pleading into the Court (see February 16, 1989 memo cover sheet from Defendant to Clerk forwarded with another original signed pleading. Once forwarded by Defendant, Defendant commissioned our receptionist to make certain that such original signed pleading had in fact been received. Both the Defendant and [redacted] spoke with Judy Schreiner the next day and were advised that such materials had been received. The Defendant was advised ONCE that he failed to pay his docket fee which he immediately rectified on January 26, 1989. I am confused regarding the Court's allegation that Defendant was repeatedly advised of his errors and that he refused to comply. He was never repeatedly advised of anything in obvious error nor has he ever refused to do anything he was advised to do by the Clerk or the Court.I am also aware that there was a tremendous amount of Defendant initiated dialogue between the Defendant, our [redacted] and the Clerk herself and that the Clerk told each of them (the Defendant and [redacted] that they (Clerk) not only had lost Defendant's pleadings but that they (the Clerk's office) had lost or misplaced Defendant's docket fee. The docket fee in particular was mailed on January 26, sent back to Defendant on February 13 for no known reasons and sent back by Defendant for proper docketing. b6 b7C

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

16 of 19

6. Defendant, because he was extremely concerned over the lost pleadings and lost docket fee personally telephoned the Clerk virtually on a daily basis for over a month straight and instructed our [redacted] in his absence to do the same to make sure that the matter was being properly docketed. Defendant and our [redacted] were both advised on March 8, 1989, (see annotation from [redacted] on March 6 letter forwarded by Defendant to Clerk) b6 b7C that all of the paperwork had been located and that the docket fee had been located as well as the original signed pleadings and the Clerk would advise the judge of the mixup and that everything that was necessary to file a responsive pleading had been accomplished by the Defendant and our [redacted] and that the matter should be considered properly filed.- see Exhibit B.

7. Court's allegation that the Defendant refused to correct his errors is without basis since the Defendant corrected each noted error at once and only ONCE upon notice from the Clerk's office.

8. Court alleges that Defendant has received all ORDERS and JUDGMENTS which is also untrue since I open all of his mail on a daily basis and I have never seen the judgement which the Court maintains existed against Defendant nor certainly has the Defendant.

9. The Court alleges that the check forwarded to the Court as a docket fee had no reference to the case. I recall specifically that this check #1958 had the docket number on it before it was mailed on January 26, 1989 since I saw the Defendant write the case number on it.

10. The Court maintains, in a litany of past ORDERS that the Defendant has not provided the Court with original signed copies of all pleadings when both the Defendant and [redacted] verified their receipt with Judy Schreiner and Marcie Rommel of the Clerk's office.

11.Given all of the confusion regarding the docket fee, alleged unresponsiveness of the Defendant, etc. and Defendant's and [redacted] many conversations with Mrs. Rommel and her staff b6 who are equally confused as Defendant is, and after almost 2 years b7C of dialogue, I am and the Defendant is completely mystified at this recent ORDER dated May 29 again denying him his motion to vacate this judgment. The entry of default claims that the Defendant never responded to this complaint within the statutory timeframe yet we have evidence to the contrary plus Mrs. Rommel advised both the Defendant and [redacted] on March 8, 1989, after conferring with the judge, that she would re-file all of our past pleadings.

12. I am curious why this Court continues to deny this Defendant his right to have his motion heard to vacate judgment given the preponderance of evidence he has furnished this Court over the past 1.5 years.

13. Based upon my review and understanding of this case, and given the preponderance of evidence which the Defendant has provided the Court, that the Court has no basis to continue maintaining that no basis exists to vacate this matter.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

14. Based on my review and understanding of this case, I am curious why the Court, in Defendant's continued attempts to vacate this matter, has refused to consider various affidavits in his defense and refuses to accept testimony of impartial third parties such as the affiant and [redacted] who are aware of the facts and circumstances from inception but yet are having their testimony suppressed by the Court. b6 b7C

15. Lastly, I am curious why the Defendant is being blamed and being punished for errors which are clearly assignable to the Clerk of the District Court in corroboration with the Court but moreover why the Court refuses to allow testimony for the Defendant to defend himself.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

18419

Further the affiant sayeth not.

[redacted]

The foregoing affidavit was sworn to and acknowledged before me in the County of Larimer, State of Colorado, by [redacted] on this 15th day of June, 1989. b6 b7C

[redacted]

My commission expires: 6-30-92

Notary address: [redacted]

[redacted] Co. [redacted]

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

b7E



DISTRICT COURT, LARIMER COUNTY, COLORADO

CASE NO. [redacted] b6 b7C

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO,

PLAINTIFF,

V.

[redacted] b6 b7C

DEFENDANT.

THIS MATTER CAME ON FOR HEARING ON JANUARY 16, 1992, BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN-DAVID SULLIVAN, CHIEF JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT.

THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRANSCRIPT OF AN EXCERPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD ON SAID DATE.

FOR PLAINTIFF: [redacted]

REG. NO. [redacted] b6 b7C b7E

FOR DEFENDANT: (DEFENDANT APPEARED PRO SE)

[redacted]

RECEIVED 3-13-92 [redacted]

SEARCHED [redacted] INDEXED [redacted]
SERIALIZED [redacted] FILED [redacted]
MAR 26 1992
FBI — DENVER [redacted]

INDEX

	PAGE
[redacted]	
DIRECT BY THE COURT	3
CROSS BY [redacted]	12
REDIRECT BY THE COURT	16

b6
b7C

WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD AND ENTERED OF RECORD:

PROCEEDINGS

****

[redacted] b6 b7C

DEFENDANT HEREIN, CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE COURT, BEING FIRST DULY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

Q WOULD YOU STATE YOUR NAME AND SPELL YOUR LAST NAME.

A YES.

MY NAME IS [redacted]

Q AND, [redacted] WHAT IS YOUR SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER?

A YOUR HONOR, [redacted]

[redacted]

Q [redacted] b6 b7C

A [redacted]

[redacted]

Q [redacted]

A [redacted]

Q [redacted]

[redacted]

A WELL, YOUR HONOR, [redacted]

[redacted]

Q I DON'T KNOW THAT YOU ARE ACCURATE, [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] IS

THAT CORRECT?

A [redacted]

Q AND YOUR AGE?

A [redacted]

Q AND WHAT IS YOUR DATE OF BIRTH?

A [redacted]

Q THAT WOULD MAKE YOU [redacted] IS THAT CORRECT?

A YES, YOUR HONOR.

Q ALL RIGHT.

YOUR MARITAL STATUS?

A [redacted]

Q AND DO YOU HAVE ANY CHILDREN?

A [redacted] b6 b7C

Q

A

Q

A

[redacted]

b6
b7C

Q
A
Q
A
Q
A
Q
A
Q
A
Q
A
Q
A
Q
A
Q
A

AND ARE YOU EMPLOYED AT ALL?

[redacted]

b6
b7C

Q [redacted]

A

Q

A

Q [redacted] b6 b7C

A

Q

A

Q WHAT ARE YOUR ASSETS?

A [redacted] b6 b7C

Q

A

Q

A

Q

[redacted]

b6
b7C

DO YOU HAVE ANY SECURITIES OF ANY KIND OR FUNDS?

[redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted]

b6
b7C

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q

DO YOU HAVE ANY INSURANCE OF ANY TYPE?

A

Q

A

[redacted]

b6
b7C

Q

A

Q

A

Q [redacted]

A

Q

A

b6
b7C

Q

AND THEN HOW ABOUT PERSONAL PROPERTY?

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q DOES [redacted] WORK?

b6
b7C

A

Q

A

[redacted] b6 b7C

Q AND ARE YOU WORKING NOW AT [redacted] OR ANY PLACE ELSE?

[redacted] b6 b7C

Q WHO IS THE OWNER OR MANAGER OF [redacted]

A [redacted]

b6
b7C

Q WHAT ARE YOUR LIABILITIES?

A [redacted]

Q AND DID YOU PAY ANY FEDERAL INCOME TAX LAST YEAR?

A [redacted]

b6
b7C

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A [redacted]

Q [redacted] b6 b7C

A [redacted]

Q [redacted]

A [redacted]

Q [redacted]

A [redacted]

Q IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE YOU CAN TELL ME?

A NO, SIR.

THE COURT: [redacted] DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY [redacted] b6 b7C

Q YOU SAY YOU ARE [redacted]

A YES.

Q WHAT KIND OF [redacted]

A [redacted]

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q

b6
b7C

1
2 Q
3
4 A
5 Q
6
7 A
8 Q
9 A
10
11 Q
12
13 A
14
15
16 Q
17 A
18 Q
19
20
21 A
22 Q
23
24
25



b6
b7C

A [redacted] b6 b7C

Q

A

[redacted] IF I MIGHT APPROACH, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: CERTAINLY.

A (BY [redacted] b6 b7C

Q

A

Q

Q

A

Q

A

[redacted]

b6
b7C

NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

[redacted]

b6
b7C



b6
b7C

A

Q

A

Q

A

Q

A

1		
2	Q	
3		
4	A	
5	Q	
6	A	
7	Q	
8	A	b6 b7C
9	Q	
10		
11	A	
12		
13		
14		
15	Q	
16	A	
17	Q	
18		
19	A	
20		
21		
22	Q	
23		
24	A	
25	Q	

b6
b7C

1	
2	A
3	Q
4	A
5	Q
6	A
7	Q
8	
9	A
10	Q
11	
12	
13	A
14	
15	Q
16	
17	
18	A
19	Q
20	
21	A
22	
23	
24	Q
25	

A [redacted]

Q

b6
b7C

A

Q

A

Q IS THERE ANY OTHER INFORMATION THAT YOU HAVE THAT YOU CAN GIVE ME?

A NOTHING THAT I CAN THINK OF, YOUR HONOR.

Q ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY STEP DOWN.

b6
b7C

[redacted] DISMISSED)

****

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, [redacted] CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I WAS PRESENT AT AND REPORTED IN SHORTHAND THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE FOREGOING MATTER; THAT I THEREAFTER REDUCED MY SHORTHAND NOTES TO TYPEWRITTEN FORM, COMPRISING THE FOREGOING OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT; FURTHER, THAT THE FOREGOING OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THIS MATTER ON THE DATE SET FORTH.

b6
b7C

DATED AT FORT COLLINS, COLORADO, THIS 11th DAY OF March, 1992.

[redacted]

b6
b7C

CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER

b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted] CIP

[redacted]

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO
EX. REL.
PETER J. PETERS 44-0; 557 E P24, 27, 33

Administrative Law Judge/Commisoner
John D. Sullivan
200 West Oak
Fort Collins, Colorado 80521

NOTICE OF DISHONOR
PETITION IN REMONSTRANCE

You and each of you, are hereby given NOTICE OF DISHONOR OF billing statement dated June 24, 1992, fraudulently claiming the sum of $10,740.00 International Monetary Fund obligations due and owing to the de facto "state." YOU AND EACH OF YOU, in conspiracy and collusion together, and with each other, have violated the following Biblical Principles/Law, constitutional mandates and acts of the legislature, thereby voiding any and all claims or coram non judice determinations, decrees or judgments.

13

Peter J. Peters, being a Citizen of the Republic of the State of Wyoming, Appearing specially, without prejudice, Articles of Amendments I, IX, and X, qui tam, "with profound reference for the Supreme Ruler of the Universe" (See: Constitution FOR The State of Colorado., Preamble) hereby declares and complains of the usurpations, frauds and violations of the Law of the Land and Forum State, and alleges as follows:

b6
b7C
b7E

COUNT I.

"In the beginning God ceeated the heavens and the earth." (Genisis 1:1)

You and each of your in violation of Public Law 97-280 have ignored Bible Law, Bible principles and Bible teachings and have attempted to force Peter J. Peters to Violate his God given, Bible based and Holy Spirit inspired convictions and

9/14/92 RECEIVED FBI INFO FOR SUBJECT FROM SULLIVAN - FBI

[redacted]

[redacted]

responsibilities, have tried to coercively force admission of state jurisdiction, and tried to force denial of Jesus Christ, have tried to place man's law above God's, have tried to place the false god baal above the one and only true God, have tried to force violation of the first commandment in Exodus 20:3, have tried to change the nature of the Body of Christ which is His Church,and have attempted in fraud and contravention of the law to coerce Peter J. Peters as a Christian pastor to subjugate the Body of Christ to the state rather than to Jesus Christ a blasphemous action of idolatry, harlotry, and heresy

COUNT II.

WE THE PEOPLE, WITH PROFOUND REVERENCE FOR THE SUPREME RULER OF THE UNIVERSE, and with Express reservation of Rights, Privileges, Immunities and Liberties, ordained and established the Constitution FOR the State of Colorado, Preamble:

> "WE THE PEOPLE, with PROFOUND REVERENCE For The SUPREME RULER Of the UNIVERSE, in order to form a more independent and perfect government; establish justice; insure tranquility; provide for the common defense; promote the general welfare and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, Do Ordain and Establish this Constitution for the "State of Colorado."

YOU AND EACH OF YOU are charged with paying personal attention to the proper and lawful exercise of the Public Offices which you respectively hold, enjoy and exercise, pursuant to the Constitution For the State of Colorado, Article XII, Section 2, and have breached the legal and lawful duties and specific performance imposed, mandated and required of the same.

Peter J. Peters, at al., retain and exercise their Rights, Privileges, Immunities and Liberties, pursuant to the Ordained and established Constitution for the United States of America, Article VI, Section 2, and demand observance of the same at ALL times.

YOU AND EACH OF YOU, in collusion and conspiracy together and with each other, breached the mandates and declared "Public Policy" of We The People as expressed in the aforesaid Preamble, for the purpose of fraudulently and wrongfully soliciting and collecting fines for and or in interest of your foreign principals and powers, as against the Laws of the Creator and the Laws of Nature.

> "The law of God and the Law of the Land are all one; and both preserve and favor the common and public good of the land. Keilway's Reports 191, Also see, Declaration of Independence, (1776), Public Law, 97-280, 96 Stat. 1211

The government of the said Republic of the "State of Colorado" was ordained and established by WE THE PEOPLE to form a more independent and perfect government. With profound reverence for the Supreme Ruler of the Universe, the

inalienable capacity and right to speak was endowed by our Creator, God the Father, and not the Departments, Offices or Agencies of man. And pursuant to the Laws of Nature and Nature's God, We The People, reserved our natural, personal, civil and political Liberties and corresponding duty to establish JUSTICE, not "Just Us" , insure tranquillity and peace, provide for our common defense, promote the general welfare, health and safety, not special welfare, and did agree and secure our Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity.

By and through your continued fraudulent acts, you have established a willful and wanton disregard for the Rights, Liberties, Tranquillity, Welfare, Health, Safety, and Security of We The People, and our Posterity, including but not limited to, the promotion of "licentious" and "Lewd" behavior, and attempting to grant special privileges, immunities and partisan franchises to such a group of persons, who, the law regarding the order of nature (Coke on Littleton, 197) can have no posterity, and to thereby disregard the above declared mandates and Public Policy, and degrade and lessen the moral and social welfare of the society.

> "It is the same thing to do a thing as not to prohibit it when in your power." (Coke's Pleas of the Crown 158)
>
> "What is prohibited in the nature of things can be confirmed by no law." (Flinch, Law 74)

The Home Rule City of Fort Collins, under the pre-emption doctrine of the Constitution for the State of Colorado, Article XX, and its Charter Article VIII, Section 2, clearly states that all elections shall be "NONPARTISAN." The special privilege, immunity and franchise being heretofore sought by proposed passage of City Ordinance 106, was to establish a special, partisan capacity to those of certain licentious and lewd Sexual Orientations, to wit:

> "Sexual orientation shall mean actual or supposed heterosexuality, homosexuality, or bisexuality."

and which is PROHIBITED by both the fundamental Law of the State of Colorado, and the Charter of the City of Fort Collins, and which law also expressly recognizes the Right of We The People, and the limitations and obligations imposed upon our Public Offices by Law.

The duly ordained and established Constitution for the United States of America, Article of Amendment, XV, only declares that the right to vote shall not be denied or abridged on account of "RACE, COLOR, OR PREVIOUS CONDITION OF SERVITUDE". U.S. vs. Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 588) and Article of Amendment XIX, on account of SEX, (also note, Constitution for the State of Colorado, Article VII, Section 2, meaning male or female. The Constitution For the State of Colorado, Article VII, Sections 1a, and 6, provides the basis of Qualification of Electors and that ONLY electors may hold public office, period. Individuals and groups professing and practicing licentious behavior aren't mentioned, and don't fall within the scope,

purview, limitations or intent of the fundamental Law of the Land and Forum State, nor protected by usurped acts attempting to extending such special privileges, immunities or partisan franchises.

The County of Larimer and the "state" of Colorado, by and through its officers, employees, representatives and agents lacked right or cause of action, and any decrees, orders, judgments, claims, etc., concerning the same are void, ab initio, upon grounds of fraud, usurpation and being in contravention to the Law of God, the Law of the Land, and forum State of Colorado and Home Ruled City Charter of Fort Collins.

COUNT III.

WE THE PEOPLE, WITH PROFOUND REVERENCE FOR THE SUPREME RULER OF THE UNIVERSE, and with Express reservation of Rights, Privileges, Immunities and Liberties, ordained and established the Constitution FOR the State of Colorado, Article II, Section 4:

> "The religious freedom. The free exercise and enjoyment of religious profession and worship, without discrimination, shall forever hereafter be guaranteed; and no person shall be denied any civil or political right, privilege or capacity, on account of his opinions concerning religion; but the liberty of conscience hereby secured shall not be construed to dispense with oaths or affirmations, excuse acts of licentiousness, or justify practices inconsistent with the good order, peace or safety of the state. No person shall be required to attend or support any ministry or place of worship, religious sect or denomination against his consent. Nor shall any preference be given by law to any religious denomination or mode of worship."

Peter J. Peters is of the religious profession, and freely exercises the guaranteed and secured RIGHT, YOU AND EACH OF YOU, have willfully and wantonly infringed upon the Right of the People to peacefully assembly for the Public Good, and did unlawfully, maliciously and selectively prosecute an action against Peter J. Peters for exercising his said Rights of association and remonstrance, and for his opinions concerning licentious behavior, namely, "sodomy" in the lewd form of homosexuality, and as against his opinions concerning those whose un-natural sexual orientation and moral turpitude violate the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God, and whose acts pervert, endanger and destroy the health, safely and moral fiber and welfare of the community, and as against his lawful Right and Duty to assert by remonstrance his opinions against granting the same said sodomites any special immunity, franchise or privilege, whatever. Constitution for the State of Colorado, Article V, Section 25.

As established by our Forefathers, and as used herein, "sodomy" is defined as follows:

> "SODOMY, crim. law. The crime against nature, committed with man or beast.

2. It is a crime not fit to be named, peccatum illud horrible, inter christianos non nominandum. 4 Blackstone's Commentaries 215; 1 East's pleas of the Crown 480, 487; Bacon's Abridgment, h.t.; Hawkin's Pleas of the Crown, book 1, chapter 4, 1 Wale, 669; Comyn's Digest, Justices, S 4; Russell & Ryan's Crown Cases, 331.

3. This crime was punished with great severity by the civil law. Novellae, the Novels. 141; Novellas, the Novels 77; Institutes of Justinian, book 4, title 18, law 4. See 1 Russell on Crimes and Misdemeanors 568; Russell & Ryan's Crown Cases 331, 412; 1 East's Pleas of the Crown 437.

The Lord God Almighty, Creator of the Heavens and Earth, clearly declared the Law upon such evil perversions, to wit:

"If a man also lie with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination: they shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them." Leviticus 21:13 (KJV)

" Know ye not that the unrighteous shall not inherit the kingdom of God? Be not deceived: neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor effeminate, nor abusers of themselves with mankind, Nor thieves, nor covetous, nor drunkards nor revilers, nor extortioners, shall inherit the kingdom of God."
I Corithians 6: 9,10 (KJV)

"For the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who hold the truth in unrighteousness; Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts, to dishonour their own bodies between themselves: Who changed the truth of God into a lie, and worshipped and served the creature more than the Creator, who is blessed for ever. For this cause God gave them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature: And likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompence of their error which was meet. And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient; Being filled with all unrighteousness, fornication, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness; full of envy, murder, debate, deceit, malignity; whisperers, Backbiters, haters of God, despiteful, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents, Without understanding, covenant breakers, without natural affection, implacable, unmerciful; Who knowing the judgment of God, that they which ocmmit such things are worthy of death, not only do the same, but have pleasure in them that do them."
Romans 1:18, 19, 24-32 (KJV)

The permission of the "state" is not required to FREELY exercise the secured Rights, nor to protect ourselves, our families, our neighbors/community from such evils

and perversion. It is commonly understood that Denver has failed to maintain the moral and social integrity of the community, but does not give rise to a cause of action against those who do not wish to live in such Sodom and Gamoran, degenerated, sub-animal, perverted, hedonistic, apathetic, and licentious communities, nor have their communities converted into such a dysfunctional state.

The contention is further established by the fact that you have illegally and unlawfully levied an assessment against this Citizen and Minister of the Word of God, in your profligate debauched Keynesian, Monetary values. John Maynard Keynes was a practicing homosexual, whose words and deceitful theories are the basis of your bankrupt financial organization(s) and profligate system. He told YOU AND EACH OF YOU that:

> "By a continuing process of inflation, governments can confiscate, secretly and unobserved, an important part of the wealth of its citizens. There is no subtler, surer means of overturning the existing basis of society than to debauch the currency. The process engages all the hidden forces of economic law on the side of destruction, and does it in such a manner which not one man in a million can diagnose "This Economic Consequences Of Peace, John M. Keynes, (1920)

It is further asserted herein that said homosexuals and bisexuals are considered by many to be High Risk carriers of HIV or A.I.D.S., which has been openly and publicly declared by the Legislative body to be "an infectious and communicable disease that endangers the population of this state." C.R.S. 25-4-1401

Such is the integrity of homosexuals, which you profess by proposed referendums and ordinance to excuse and endow with and grant special privilege, immunity and partisan franchise, and to usurped power and authority, to directly and intentionally violate the Law of God, the Law of the Land and fundamental Law of the "State of Colorado", including but not limited to, the secured Right to Associate and Peaceably Assemble for the common good, and the Freedom to Speak, write and Publish.

COUNT IV.

WE THE PEOPLE, WITH PROFOUND REVERENCE FOR THE SUPREME RULER OF THE UNIVERSE, and with Express reservation of Rights, Privileges, Immunities and Liberties, ordained and established the Constitution FOR the State of Colorado, Article II, Section 10:

> "Freedom of Speech and press. No law shall be passed impairing the freedom of speech; every person shall be free to speak, write or publish whatever he will on any subject, being responsible for all abuses of that liberty, and in all suits and prosecutions for libel the truth thereof may be given in evidence, and the jury, under direction of the court, shall determine the law and fact."

The clear and unambiguous language PROHIBITS YOU AND EACH OF YOU from passing or exercising ANY LAW, RULE, REGULATION, ETC., which would IMPAIR the LIBERTY AND FREEDOM TO SPEAK, WRITE OR PUBLISH ON ANY SUBJECT. Not all parties are properly named, nor their character defined. You didn't bring an action in the name and authority of the Home Rule City of Fort Collins, nor against GOD ALMIGHTY AND HIS WORD/LAW. You are becoming as the Whore of Babylon with Blasphemy upon her forehead. You have done what you were commanded NOT to do, including but not limited to, excusing licentious behavior, wilfully violating the Due Process Clause.

As clearly stated in Federalist Papers No. 33:

> "If the ... government should overpass the just bounds of its authority and make tyrannical use of its powers, the people whose creature it is, must appeal to the standard they have formed, and take such measures to redress the injury done to the Constitution as the exigency may suggest and prudence justify." Also see, Declaration of Independence, (1776)

COUNT V.

WE THE PEOPLE, WITH PROFOUND REVERENCE FOR THE SUPREME RULER OF THE UNIVERSE, and with Express reservation of Rights, Privileges, Immunities and Liberties, ordained and established the Constitution FOR the State of Colorado, Article II, Section 24:

> "Right to assemble and petition. The people have the right peaceably to assemble for the common good, and to apply to those vested with the powers of government for redress of grievance, by petition or remonstrance."

The people retained the RIGHT to peaceably assemble for the COMMON GOOD, and to apply to those vested with the powers of government, including but not limited to, the people themselves by remonstrance. Remonstrance is before the fact, before a damage, injury or threat to their lives, liberties, properties, safety, security and happiness occur, thereby stopping the act before it becomes operative, and costly and many times ineffectual disposed of through the de facto and many times profligate tribunal system.

Peter J. Peters hereby alleges Official Misconduct C.R.S. 18-8-403, as against Larimer County, Larimer County Clerk, Myrna Rodenberger, Office of Secretary of State, Secretary of State, Natalie Meyer, Department of Law, Attorney, Duane Woodard, Maurice Knaizer, Administrative Law Judge/Commissioner John D. Sullivan, Division of Central Service, [redacted] Office of Governor, Roy Romer, and for arbitrary and capricious acts of the aforenamed agencies and agents, denial of statutory Right, actions contrary to constitutional right, power, privilege and immunity, acts in excess of jurisdiction, authority, purpose and limitations, not in accord with the procedures or procedural limitations, abuse of

b6
b7C

discretion, and other acts and usurpations contrary to law, and hereby declares and alleges as follows:

A.

The office of Governor, Roy Romer, (C.R.S. §§ 24-1-104, 24-1-109) by and through the Office of Secretary of State C.R.S. 24-1-110(a), and agent, Secretary of State, Natalie Meyer, and in collusion with, the Department of Law (C.R.S. 24-1-110 (c), by and through agents, Duane Woodard, Maurice Knaizer, and Larimer County Clerk, Myrna J. Rodenberger, did in fact, between the date of April 27, 1989 and June 27, 1989, violate the statutory right of Peter J. Peters, et al.,, and did act in contravention to and of his Constitutionally secured Right, power, privilege and immunity, and did act in excess of jurisdiction, authority, purpose and limitation, and not in accord with C.R.S. 24-4-105,

B.

Petitioner, Citizen, Peter J. Peters, et al., acting within and exercising his Lawful, Constitutionally secured Rights, Liberties, Privileges and Immunities, was wrongfully, arbitrarily, selectively, and unlawfully prosecuted for violation of C.R.S. Title 1, Article 45, and in fraud and contravention to the Law of the Land and forum.

The elections held within the providence and authority of the Home Rule City of Fort Collins, on November 8, 1988, were before (ex post facto) the implementation of C.R.S.1-45-121(1) (b), which became effective May 21, 1991, and pursuant to C.R.S. 1-45-113 (2), the complaining party was required to file the complaint NO LATER THAN 60 days after the final report was purportedly required to be filed (C.R.S.1-45-110, 30 days), and is an estopple in Law against the Administrative Actions heretofore usurped and had against Peter J. Peters, et al, and voids the decree entered thereon as a matter of Law.

C.

The selective, ex parte proceedings had and taken against Minister, Peter J. Peters, were and are evidence enough. In the First Instant, Peter J. Peters asserted on numerous occasions that he didn;t know of any law prohibiting him from speaking the Word of God, and did not understand the laws being imposed upon him by the County of Larimer and de facto "state" of Colorado C.R.S. 1-45-121 (2) specifically provides that:

> "(2) It shall be an affirmative defense to prosecution under this article that the offender did not have actual knowledge of his responsibilities under this article and was an uncompensated volunteer."

D.

Peter J. Peters, not voluntarily submitting to the Administrative jurisdiction, requested an extension of time pursuant to, C.R.S. 24-4-105 (2) & 10), and the said

Agencies and agents disregarding their duties and the statutory right of Petitioner to the same said due process mandates as required by law, did proceed against the Petitioner, and on June 27, 1989 and did enter an arbitrary and capricious decree against Peter J. Peters, and violating the substantive Rights, Privileges, Immunities and Liberties of petitioner, as secured by the Constitution for the State of Colorado, and the Constitution for the United States of America, Articles of Amendments I, IX and X.

E.

The Administrative Law Judge or Commissioner, being required to make a written statement of conclusions of law and fact, pursuant to C.R.S. 24-4-105-(14), and Petitioner not waiving any Right at any time, including his right to time, failed and neglected to submit and present an initial decision stating substantive supporting facts or conclusions of law upon which the arbitrary and capricious decree was entered against Petitioner, Peter J. Peters.

F.

The person conducting the said administrative hearing was required by law, namely C.R.S. 24-4-105, to protect the substantive rights of the party of the Petitioner, and to hold said hearing as to not prejudice his aforesaid substantive Rights, Privileges, Immunities and Liberties as secured by the said ordained and established Constitution(s), and disregarding the same, and craftily and deceitfully disregarding their duties and obligations, did willfully, knowingly and wantonly violate the Rights, Privileges, Immunities and Liberties of Citizen, Peter J. Peters, and usurped the powers and authority of the people of the Home Rule City of Fort Collins to determine their local matters, and regulate their own municipal affairs, and infringed upon their "full right of self government." Constitution for the State of Colorado, Article XX, clause 6

G.

The state of Colorado did wrongfully, maliciously and selectively commence an action on July 6, 1990 against the LaPorte Church of Christ in opposition to and without authority, quasi judicial determination of the Secretary of State Natilie Meyer, which decree was only against Peter J. Peters and further did not obtain jurisdiction over the LaPorte Church of Christ by service of process of summons and complaint nor did they ever have jurisdiction over the person or subject matter in issue and did enter a false and fraudulent order and decree in violation of the law.

COUNT VI.

WE THE PEOPLE WITH PROFOUND REVERENCE FOR THE SUPREME RULER OF THE UNIVERSE, and with Express reservation of Rights, Privileges, Immunities and Liberties, ordained and established the Constitution FOR the State of Colorado, Article II, Section 28:

"Rights reserved not disparaged. The enumeration in this constitution of certain rights shall not be construed to deny, impair or disparage others retained by the people."

This is the broadest statement of Rights in our social compact, which are not, and are never to be relinquished to those holding, enjoying and exercising our Public Offices. These RIGHTS are not subject to DENIAL, nor to be IMPAIRED, or DISPARAGED, PERIOD. Citizen, Peter J. Peters, et al., would think that such a declaratory and restrictive clause would be promptly understood. The RIGHT OF WE THE PEOPLE to honor and show reverence to the CREATOR and CREATOR LAW, is more than just empty words, such as the Oaths taken by many public servants. WE THE PEOPLE reserved the RIGHT to question and call to answer those who abuse, violate, deny, abridge, impair, abrogate, or disparage our RIGHTS. WE THE PEOPLE reserve the RIGHT to keep and maintain the integrity of our communities. WE THE PEOPLE retain the RIGHT and DUTY to throw off Despotism, Tyranny, and those who would under color and pretense of authority form and erect a dulocracy, or socialist democracy. WE THE PEOPLE reserve ALL our natural, Personal, Civil, and Political Liberties, to the point of ABOLISHING the Constitution and Laws in their entirety (Constitution For the State of Colorado, Article II, Section 1 and 2), without the permission of any political hack, pettifogger, shyster, or other amoral or incompetent individual, person, or association of persons, of whatever kind of nature, acting under color or pretense of Public Office, Department, Agency, Instrumentality or Corporation. WE THE PEOPLE have Sovereign dominion (under God) over the offices and corporations. WE THE PEOPLE reserve the Right and Duty to inform you that acts committed or about to be committed are against our fundamental law, and the corresponding RIGHT to see to the removal and prosecution of corrupt usurpers, who willfully and wantonly expose ourselves and our posterity to injury and dangers, and who violate our declared PUBLIC POLICY.

COUNT VII.

WE THE PEOPLE, WITH PROFOUND REVERENCE FOR THE SUPREME RULER OF THE UNIVERSE, and with Express reservation of Rights, Privileges, Immunities and Liberties, ordained and established the Constitution FOR the State of Colorado, Article XX:

Constitution for the State of Colorado, Article XX, Section 6, Clause 2, Clause 5(d) and Clause 6, to wit:

"Such charter and the ordinances made in pursuance thereto in such matters shall supersede within the territorial limits and other jurisdictions of said city or town and law of the state in conflict therewith."

"From and after the certifying to and filing with the secretary of state of a charter framed and approved in reasonable conformity with the provisions of this article, such city or town, and the citizens thereof, shall have the powers set out in

sections 1,4, and 5 of this article, and all other powers necessary, requisite or proper for the government and administration of its local and municipal matters, including power to legislate upon, provide, regulate, conduct and control:

CLAUSE 5(d)

d. All matters pertaining to municipal elections in such city or town, and to electoral votes therein on measures submitted under the charter or ordinance thereof, including the calling or notice and the date of such election or vote, the registration of voters, nominations, nomination and election systems, judges and clerks of election, the form of ballots, balloting, challenging, canvassing, certifying the results, securing the purity of elections, guarding against abuses of the elective franchise, and tending to make such elections and electoral votes non-partisan in character.

CLAUSE 6:

"It is the intention of this article to grant and confirm to the people of all municipalities coming within its provisions the full right of self-government in both local and municipal matters and the enumeration herein of certain powers shall not be construed to deny such cities and towns, and to the people thereof, any right or power essential or proper to the full exercise of such right."

Usurpation of powers by the agencies and agents named herein is obvious. The Home Rule City of Fort Collins had and has preempted the County of Larimer and the "state" of Colorado, and having assumed FULL RIGHT under its Charter, has declared in Article VIII, Section 1, that:

"The Council shall provide by ordinance for the manner of holding city elections. All ordinances regarding elections shall be consistent with the provisions of this charter and the state Constitution. Any matter not covered by the state Constitution, this Charter or ordinance of the Council shall be governed by the laws of the State of Colorado relating to municipal elections."

The Council provided for such things as registration forms by City Ordinance, namely, Fort Collins Code, 7-128, which explicitly states:

"Campaign treasurers for candidates for municipal elections and campaign treasurers for issues shall file with the City Clerk any campaign reports of information required to be filed pursuant to this Article, the Campaign Reform Act or C.R.S. 31-10-1510.

C.R.S. 31-10-1510 was repealed April 20, 1985, in its entirety, and any such reports, if required by reference, C.R.S. 31-10-1539, are to be filed with the City Clerk, not the County Clerk, Myrna Rodenberger, nor Secretary of State Natalie Meyer, who were apparently trying to fraudulently obtain a benefit for the County. C.R.S. 31-10-

1505 And under the pre-emption doctrine, would necessarily have to be prosecuted under and within the Charter, namely, Article VII, Section 2, to wit:

"The Council shall provide for the enforcement of its ordinances. The maximum penalty for a violation of the ordinance of the city shall be set by the Council by ordinance."

The aforesaid selective quasi-judicial actions heretofore fraudulently taken against Peter J. Peters, et al., are usurpations of authority in contravention to the Constitution for the State of Colorado, the Home Rule City Charter of Fort Collins, and the Ordinance of the Council, and infringement and trespass on the Rights of WE THE PEOPLE.

COUNT VIII.

WE THE PEOPLE, WITH PROFOUND REVERENCE FOR THE SUPREME RULER OF THE UNIVERSE, and with Express reservation of Rights, Privileges, Immunities and Liberties, ordained and established the Constitution FOR the State of Colorado, Article II, Section 20:

"Excessive bail, fines, or punishment. Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted."

It is further asserted herein that your claims are not only based upon fraud and other unlawful acts, omissions and usurpations, but beyond the statute of limitations.

YOU AND EACH OF YOU have furthered your Bad Faith, fraudulent activities, violations of Law and Rights of the Citizens, and usurpations by claiming the excessive sum of 10,740.00. Arguendo, all the above stated violations, frauds usurpations, and acts committed or threatened to be committed in contravention to the fundamental Law of the Land and forum, C.R.S. 31-10-1504 limits the amount that can be collected as penalty, if any is in fact and law due, to a fine of not more than $1000.

WE THE PEOPLE find and declare that the usurpations, frauds, violations of Law, Breach of trust, faith, and duties, etc., as heretofore and herein declared by Petitioner, Peter J. Peters, to be gross willful misconduct, misfeasance and malfeasance, and that said acts, usurpations and frauds were committed with the intent to cause selective and malicious harm, damage and injury to Peter J. Peters, and to subject, solicit and collect a false and fraudulent assessment, in violation of C.R.S. 18-8-403, and C.R.S 18-8-404, and against the Peace and Dignity of the People of the State of Colorado.

"There is no position which depends on clear principle than that every act of a delegated authority contrary to the tenor of the commission under which it is exercised is void. No legislative act therefore contrary to the Constitution can be valid. To deny this would be to affirm that the deputy is greater than his principal, that the servant is above his master, that the representatives of the people are superior to the people

themselves, that men acting by virtue of powers may do, not only what their powers do not authorize, but what they forbid." Federalist Papers #78-Alexander Hamilton

YOU AND EACH OF YOU ARE HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE OF DISHONOR for false, fraudulent and unlawful claims in the sum of $10,740.00, and that the people of the State of Colorado, on relation of Peter J. Peters, qui tam, retain and claim ALL Rights, Privileges, Immunities and Liberties, enumerated and un-enumerated, without prejudice, C.C.C. 1-307, Articles of Amendments IX, X, and demand specific performance of the named Offices, officers, employees, servants, representatives and agents, and that they are commanded to immediately cease and desist from any further acts concerning this matter, so that no further damages, injuries, endangerment, breach of duties, service & obligations due owing to WE THE PEOPLE AND OUR POSTERITY accrue.

YOU are further directed to submit a verified written response to this Petition within 5 days of receipt of the same.

Dated this 17 day of August, in the year of our Lord 1992.

Peter J. Peters
c/o

Without Prejudice, U.C.C. 1-207,
C.R.S. 4-1-207,
Article II, Sections 1,2,4,
10,24,28, Articles of Amendments
I,IX, X, and Holy Scripture.

Peter J. Peters
c/o P.O. Box 766
LaPorte, Colorado 80535

cc.
Division of Central Services
Secretary of State
Attorney General
Governor Roy Romer
County Clerk and Recorder
Administrative Law Judge/Commisioner John David Sullivan
And Bonding Companies

Certified Mail No. IB425563 70K

FD-36 (Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
☐ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☒ AIRTEL

PRECEDENCE:
☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS

Date 10/21/92

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM SAC, SACRAMENTO [redacted] (RUC)

b6
b7C
b7E

SUBJECT : PETER J. PETERS;
[redacted]
OO: DENVER

Enclosed for FBIHQ and for Denver are two copies each of an investigative insert reflecting contact with [redacted]

b7D
b7E

As FBIHQ is already aware and for the information of Denver, former SAC TED GUNDERSON has, in the past, allied himself with a host of "causes." Based upon information provided, it appears he is prepared to offer his expertise to PETERS and other right-wing groups in their investigation of the [redacted] standoff near Naples, Idaho, in late August 1992.

b6
b7C

The investigative inserts are being provided for information purposes and for whatever utilization office of origin deems appropriate.

2 - Bureau (Enc. 2)
2 - Denver (Enc. 2)
3 - Sacramento
(1 - [redacted]
[redacted]
(7)

b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

1. ASAC
2. ASAC
3. SSA

1*

SEARCH INDEXED

NOV 10 1992

Per
FBI — DENVER

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) (Time)

[redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

On October 20, 1992, [redacted] provided the following information to Special Agent (SA) [redacted]

Source, along with [redacted]

[redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

WORK COPY
DO NOT FILE

SEARCHED [redacted] INDEXED [redacted]
SERIALIZED [redacted] FILED [redacted]
NOV 1 0 1992
[redacted] DENVER

b6
b7C

(cnfo)

Memorandum

To : SAC, DENVER [redacted] Date 12/11/92

From : SA [redacted] CSRA

Subject: SCRIPTURES FOR AMERICA;
PETE PETERS, dba
LaPorte Church of Christ,
Laramie, Wyoming;
Possible White Supremacist Group;
OO: DENVER

On [redacted] Colorado, telephone [redacted] advised that SCRIPTURES FOR AMERICA (SFA), a "Christian Group", contracted to stay at [redacted] for a period during [redacted] It became clear to [redacted] and [redacted] that the group was more white supremacist and NAZI than the standard "Christian" group that was expected. [redacted] based [redacted] opinion on the following: (1) at least one weapon (hunting rifle) was observed in the trunk of one vehicle; (2) one of the seminar leaders stated that "the black race is not a race but a species"; (3) pamphlets entitled "How to Beat the Polygraph", "How to Buy Guns", and "Songs of the Civil War"; and (4) the group was very interested in the "standoff in Idaho" during August, 1992. The group seemed to know or empathize with [redacted] and [redacted] (phonetic) and the group received facsimiles daily regarding the Idaho incident.

[redacted] advised that the group, which indicated it is "a Christian identity movement", has organizations in various places, including Fort Collins, Colorado, Idaho, and Oregon.

On [redacted] contacted PETE PETERS, associated with the LaPorte CHURCH OF CHRIST, and a senior pastor of the group, located at 122 Mason Lane, Laramie, Wyoming 82070 to advise him the group's contract for [redacted] would not be honored. [redacted] advised that Mr. PETERS was very upset and threatened legal action and stated that "There are other solutions other than legal...I know where your family lives...I hope you're looking over your shoulder."

2 - Denver
[redacted]
(2)



b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted] b7E

[redacted] advised that [redacted] and [redacted] were b6
assistants to Mr. PETERS and [redacted] and b7C
[redacted] were speakers at the seminars.

When [redacted] began making some inquires about the group, he learned that the SFA had previously stayed at CAMP CEDAR EDGE, an ASSEMBLY OF GOD camp. [redacted] spoke with the b6
[redacted] possibly located at [redacted] b7C
[redacted] having possible telephone numbers of b7E
[redacted] had indicated that the FBI was aware of the group and [redacted]

[redacted] advised [redacted] was not that concerned about the b6
threat because there is a full staff at the camp but wished to b7C
make a record of the incident as well as to alert the FBI to the group.

[redacted]

b6
b7C
b7E



[redacted]

Received letter & audio cassette from Judge Sullivan on 5-31-93 for information of FBI.

[redacted] 3/6/93

b6
b7C

MAR - 5 1993

Laporte Church of Christ

(303) 484-5803
P.O. Box 766, LaPorte, Colorado 80535
Pastor Peter John Peters

"...Go into all the World, and preach the gospel..."

Judge John David Sullivan
P O Box 1190
Ft. Collins, Co. 80522

44-0-557 E P30

Dear Judge John Sullivan,

To certain parties who are responsible for the persecution and theft perpetrated upon the LaPorte Church of Christ. The following Sunday pulpit sermon was delivered at the LaPorte Church of Christ on Sunday, February 28, 1993. Teachings in the Bible (including Deuteronomy 20, Ezekiel chapter three and Genesis chapter nine) compel me to send this to those who will be responsible if the situation concerning the state (which is behaving as a false Baal god) and the LaPorte Church of Christ is not defused.

We pray for peace and that our adversary would be reasonable and try to understand we can not and will not sign P.A.C. forms for reasons we've already elaborated on in court filings.

If you wish to meet with me privately so that we can discuss a peaceful solution, I shall be glad to do so. I pray it's a time for peace. My Church number is 303-484-5803.

In His service,

Peter J. Peters, Pastor of The LaPorte Church of Christ

[redacted]

44-0-557E
P24, 27.33

P.S. This exact letter has been sent to the following parties:

b6
b7C
b7E

Judge John David Sullivan, Secretary of State Natalie Meyer, Colorado State Attorney's office, Attorney's office of [redacted] and Collection officer [redacted]

c/a [redacted]
C

...sh To Addressee

RECIPIENT
The sender has requested notification upon delivery.
Immediately upon receipt, please telephone:

Name: _____

Tel. No.: ( ) _____

EXPRESS MAIL™

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE — EXPRESS MAIL NEXT DAY SERVICE

US

ORIGIN

Date: M / D / Y

Post Office

Time In: A.M. P.M.

Initials of Clerk

Weight: lbs. oz

ACCEPTANCE

International Country Code

Next Day Delivery or Second Day Delivery

:00 P.M.

Military 2nd Day or 3rd Day

Postage $

Return Receipt $

C.O.D. $

Total Postage & Fees $

For Customer Use

Express Mail Corporate Account No.:

Federal Agency Account No.:

DESTINATION

Date of Delivery:

Time of Delivery: A.M. P.M.

X Signature of Addressee or Agent

DELIVERY WAS ATTEMPTED

Date: M / D / Y

Time: A.M. P.M.

Signature of Delivery Employee

2.

Waiver of Signature and Indemnity (Domestic Only)

I wish delivery to be made without obtaining the signature of the addressee or the addressee's agent (if in the judgement of the delivery employee, the article can be left in a secure location) and I authorize the delivery employee to sign that the shipment was delivered and understand that the signature of the delivery employee will constitute valid proof of delivery.

SIGNED:

For Customer Use

ADDRESSEE'S COPY

FROM:

TO:

Telephone Number: _____

Label 11 (April 1990)

PS Form 3800, April 1990

C/A [redacted] b6 b7C

STATUS: RUC4

0009 MRI 01229

RR RUCNFB FBIDN FBISU

DE FBISC #0003 2561702

ZNR UUUUU

R 131645Z SEP 95

FM FBI SACRAMENTO [redacted] (P)

TO DIRECTOR FBI/ROUTINE/

FBI DENVER/ROUTINE/

FBI SALT LAKE CITY/ROUTINE/

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3720//

SUBJECT: ARYAN NATIONS, CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST CHRISTIAN, HAYDEN LAKE, IDAHO; [redacted] OO: SU; [redacted] PETE PETERS; [redacted] OO: DN; [redacted] [redacted] PROVIDED THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION ON 9/12/95:

FOR INFORMATION OF DENVER, [redacted]

[redacted]

b6 b7C b7E

b6 b7C b7D b7E

FOIMS SEARCH

NEG POS ✓

IN [redacted] DATE 9/13/95

SEARCHED [redacted] INDEXED

SERIALIZED [redacted] FILED [redacted]

SEP 13 1995

FBI — DENVER

[redacted]

b6 b7C b7E

[redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

BT

#0003

NNNN